PRINCIPLES for ETHICAL WILDLIFE CONTROL

Wildlife control – the lethal or non-lethal management of wild animals to restrict their activities – is often controversial because inhumane and ineffective strategies are used.

Following these international consensus principles ensures wildlife control programs are evidence-based and fully consider ethical concerns.

BEGIN BY MODIFYING HUMAN PRACTICES

Human actions like feeding or approaching wildlife may be the root cause of conflict. Long-term education or prevention efforts may reduce or eliminate the need for other control methods.



JUSTIFY WITH EVIDENCI


Harm to people, property, livelihoods, or ecosystems should be demonstrated with evidence.

Nuisance behaviour may be found tolerable to a community through education and preventive measures.



ENSURE OBJECTIVES ARE CLEAR AND ACHIEVABLE

Objectives of wildlife control should be specific, measurable, and outcome-based. Failure to achieve poorly-defined objectives can be costly and erode public support of control programs.







Community values are key to the decision-making process, which should use scientific information to inform how best to meet those values. A collaborative community effort informed by scientific and practical information is more likely to result in a program that is supported in the long-term.



CONDUCT SYSTEMATIC PLANNING

AFRICANI FI FRHANIT

When animals are negatively labeled as introduced, abundant, or pest, control methods may be applied without proper justification. Control programs should ensure that a negative label has not reduced the consideration for animal welfare.

MAKE DECISIONS
BASED ON
SPECIFICS,
NOT LABELS

GREY WOLF

Dubois et al. (2017) doi:10.1111/cobi.12896